

**MINUTES OF CITY COUNCIL WORKSESSION  
SHEFFIELD LAKE, OHIO  
June 16, 2015**

The regular meeting of the City Council Worksession was held Tuesday, June 16, 2015. Council President Rick Rosso called the meeting to order at 7:08 PM.

**ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS:**

Present: Rosso, Smith, Kovach, Cizl, Stark, Erdei, Wtulich, McCullough, Mayor Bring, Finance Director Smith, Treasurer Zaborski, Law Director Graves, Building Inspector Vogel

Attending: Concerned Citizens, Videographer McElheney, Demo Board Chair Gee, Charter Review Gee, Members of the Media; Avon Lake Press, The Chronicle and The Journal

**PRESENTATIONS: None.**

\*\*\*\*\***COMMITTEES**\*\*\*\*\*

**ROADS & DRAINS: None./SAFETY: None./BUILDINGS, LANDS, VEHICLES & EQUIPMENT: Councilman Cizl stated I may be out of order and I keep asking and wondering Mr. McCullough was there any discussion at the last meeting about potential plans for the snow plow that we were looking at a month or so ago. President Rosso stated there hasn't been a meeting since the last Council meeting. Councilman McCullough stated I wasn't here at the last Council meeting and Mark gave the report. Councilman Erdei answered and I said no we didn't. Councilman Cizl stated I just didn't want to get to November and say oh gosh. President Rosso stated I am just saying there hasn't been an opportunity to have a different answer is all I was trying to say – sorry. Councilman McCullough stated he already gave the report at the Council meeting right? President Rosso stated yes Mark did it for you./**ORDINANCE:** President Rosso stated Kay on the email that you had emailed out under the Ordinance we had the sign permit, did something change? Councilman Kovach advised we didn't really get into much of a discussion because David wasn't there. We are going to go another month. We directed tonight's meeting will be in regards to the fees. President Rosso stated I put that under new business./**FINANCE: None./PARK BOARD: Member Kovach advised we had our meeting last night and we went over that the pavilion is on order for Guenther Park. The picnic is set for Saturday, Lisa would like as much help from anybody that might be available Saturday to promote the games and the picnic itself. I actually had approached or I requested that our Chairman ask to be included in the budget talks for this year and the Mayor is going to****

discuss that with Tammy and then we can discuss that further. Fortunately by holding up the meeting in that regards this lovely young lady at the last minute in regards to the dog park and we actually turned in some paperwork with last night's minutes. She would like a little time to speak here and I don't know if you would do that under old or new business. We are doing quite well but I am going to let her tell us how well we are doing.

**Madison Kovalik** stated I have been spearheading the campaign for the "Bark for your Park" contest and we just found out that we are finalist. There are 15 finalists in the competition nationwide. So we are going to enter the second phase of voting tomorrow at 1 pm and that will last until July 22<sup>nd</sup>. So right now we just have to get the word. They encourage us to create a PSA to put on local TV stations, things like that. So we are going to work on that. Tomorrow I am going to speak at the Commissioners meeting in Lorain County and channel 5 news is going to be there with their cameras. I will present there as well to spread the word. We are the only city in Ohio that made it to the finals. We are considered a small city, there is 3 categories; large, medium and small and we are considered a small city. So there is a grand prize of \$100,000 and that is if you get the most votes and then there is 4 runners up for \$25,000 and it is one large, one medium and one small and then the last runner up is the percentage of votes in relation to your population size. We would like to win the grand prize of course but we need to get the most votes so we really need to spread the word on this. But I just want to keep you all up to date and let you know that we are finalist. President Rosso stated thank you.

Councilman McCullough stated see me afterwards, I have some contacts at all the TV stations. Councilman Cizl stated I read on the website that they request a letter. Ms. Kovalik answered we already have that. We were actually number 19 and we had the list and we thought we didn't make it but there must have been other cities that either cheated or didn't meet the requirements or something because we shouldn't have been number 15 out of 15. But we are in there, we were originally 19 but for some reason a couple of cities got bumped. I think because we had the letter, I think that helped.

Councilman Kovach advised Rosa and Joe did come to last night's meeting but due to time constraints we will be pursuing the work on Memorial Park at next month's meeting.

Councilman Cizl stated not to jump ahead but has it been decided what park it would be when we win. Councilman Kovach stated we are leaning toward Freedom because it is a wide open area and it would be the best one to utilize because got the least in it. Councilman Cizl asked do we have water access. Mayor Bring answered I am sure we can. You did a great job and thank you.

\*\*\*\*\*ADMINISTRATIVE\*\*\*\*\*

**MAYOR:** Mayor Bring stated obviously we did get the grant for that leaf vac and we will be acquiring that soon. I did order the pavilion today, the metal is going to take about a week and it is coming separate before the roof. The lumber will probably be here, I could have it by the end of the week if I wanted it. So that is probably going to happen real soon for the park over there. The roads list, we had \$200,000.00 to repair the roads. But 2 of the roads are about \$120,000.00 so that is a big negative. So we may not do those 2 roads and try to get the best bang for our buck. We are going to look into this a little bit more. We did put the bids out for the parking lots for the Civic Center and out here and that will probably not be until the fall. Again the water meters are in the process of getting going. As far as cleaning the ditches, that is all we have been doing. We had the patch truck a little bit. Next year or the year after that we are probably going to have to look at getting another patch truck. The insides of that patch truck no longer exist. I will tell you that Rob tore that whole thing apart, the lead springs that were on there originally that had broken and our former mechanic put 2 muffler clamps on that. Rob tore that whole thing out and put regular springs back on. They had a smaller tire before because it was rubbing because the springs were broke so the put a regular size back on and he rewired the whole box because that had burned up just by use but the inside of the box is just about shot. Like I said in the next year or two we will be looking at getting another one because we use that pretty extensively to do all the pot hole patching and they were out yesterday doing that. The other thing that I will be probably getting away from Roads & Drains, this is Safety where I will be asking you guys Tuesday, we will be looking at another young man for the Police Department. This is addition to the provisional one that we put on full-time. We are using too much overtime right now. We have got one full-time going out for an extended period of time and after he comes back we have got another one for an extended period due to medical reasons. We went and looked at the list that we had and we did an interview the other day with a nice young man who is 26 years old. So we will be looking to bring him on full-time for you to vote on. I just want to let you know that we did interview him so he will be here at next Tuesday's meeting and if you guys have any questions about him he will be here to answer anything you got. He seems like a very, very nice young man and he presents himself very well. He is working 3 jobs right now and I think 1 job will help him out as far as stability. He is getting married and buying a house and he said that he would like to stay here as long as we want him. So that was a huge plus. There is a lot of little things going on but nothing major. Councilman Cizl asked is there ever a provisional for the Service/Water Department, we have been doing it for Fire and Police? Mayor Bring answered we have a couple of part-time people there right now and that has been okay. The problem is even if we brought a provisional full-time person in, they are not trained so they still can't run the equipment and they

don't know how to fix water breaks and everything else. At this point we are better off with part-time until we find out what is going to happen to these full-time employees after their medical issues have been dealt with. It is either going to expire and they come back or they won't come back at all. But I have to know that because we are still paying the one person and then we have another one that is probably going to go out too. With sick time and vacation time we are still paying those people so we have to be very careful on how we address that. Councilman Wtulich asked has there any more discussion about skate park, concrete pad or anything? Mayor Bring answered we did at the Park Board meeting, we did discuss that. We haven't done anything lately because there are the other projects that we are trying to get out of the way. Concrete & More did discuss volunteering their time and some of the material and labor for the park. I would say at best that is probably not going to be until fall and then obviously they won't be able to use that through the winter time but that is probably going to be the best case scenario. With everybody being out right now that is what we are looking at. The other thing is where they were talking about the grant for that equipment and Ferndale was also in there so we have to make sure that everything is okay as far as the room which we should have plenty of room for all that stuff. One other thing going back to the report thing but we did go over to the City of Avon Lake the other day. We went back and this is something that we discussed when I ran for Mayor about picking up leaves and putting them there and then turning them over and making humus so we did go to Avon Lake and saw the process that they have. They did tell us that everything that make over there with all the leaves gets used every year by the residents, they pick up and use it for gardening or whatever. So we are thinking about in a small part as far as doing the back side of Ferndale and changing that because we have that machine that is coming and starting that as a small entity and then working it in and seeing how this all works. There is an attachment that you can get for the skid steer to keep the ground tilled up and make a leaf humus so we may try that process just to see how that works and also see what is involved. The bigger machines are expensive, they also break down a lot but Avon Lake is doing it pretty well so we may start that. That is something that I have been thinking about for a long time and I told you that the City of Westlake has a huge process that they do and they do a fantastic job but that also costs a lot of money. So Avon Lake has a smaller one and we would probably be even smaller then that but I think it would be worth trying just to see if it would work. So that is something else that we are going to do. Councilman Erdei stated I now that I brought this up before, are we going to have any kind of a thing for our city – an open house for Fire and Police and showing off our equipment and everything. Mayor Bring answered yes Chief Card is organizing that and that is going to be in August and we will have the Police Cars out there and a few other things. They

will cook some hot dogs and hamburgers out there. We had pretty good success the last time and we would like to do even more. We will be advertising that so people know what is going on. It is great for the kids./**FINANCE DIRECTOR:**

**None./LAW DIRECTOR: None./COMMUNICATIONS: None./OLD**

**BUSINESS: None./NEW BUSINESS:** Councilman McCullough stated I need to say this before you get into the next new business thing. On May 26<sup>th</sup> we had a Council meeting where we had a vote for building services from SAFEbuilt. At the beginning of the meeting my vote was going to be no, I changed my vote to yes for the simple fact of I did not want to see the city go without a Building Department because it was obvious it was going to pass even if we had 3 readings. I made a decision at that point just to vote yes and that was the reason I voted yes for SAFEbuilt and that was on May 26<sup>th</sup>. My wife asked me how Council went and I told her and approximately May 29<sup>th</sup> she went on SAFEbuilt's website and she said you know they are hiring clerks and she reached out to them and on June 1<sup>st</sup> they answered her back and June 2<sup>nd</sup> she went in for an interview and she was hired on June 3<sup>rd</sup>. Before June 4<sup>th</sup> which was the first day Mr. Vogel met me, I was never here for SAFEbuilt, I wasn't here for the meeting that SAFEbuilt gave their presentation and I couldn't tell you if John Cheatham was sitting in this room right now or not. Karen started work for SAFEbuilt on June 8<sup>th</sup> and I am disclosing that so that you know that anything now that comes up with SAFEbuilt for the city even though she is not working in Sheffield Lake. She may be subbing here at a future date, she is working in Bay Village but anything that has to do with SAFEbuilt in order to avoid any type of conflict of interest I will not be discussing anything nor voting on anything when it comes to SAFEbuilt. You and I had the discussion and I cleared it with you when she was talking to them about the job so Rick had known and we had made the discussion and then I had talked to the Law Director too David. When Steve showed up at the meeting I actually wasn't going to disclose this until July and then when Steve showed up at the meeting I just thought it was best to let everybody know what was going on. So this is the first chance I have had to address Council and this point forward since Karen is an employee of SAFEbuilt. It has nothing to do with my vote I will stand by that 100 times over, like I said I wasn't going to stop the city from a Building Department and put stress on our city any further. That is just the way that things fell so from this point forward anything discussed at Council I will not be voting or discussing when it comes to SAFEbuilt.

**Building Inspector Steve Vogel** – proposal for fee changes. President Rosso advised Steve I assume you put this together? Building Inspector Vogel answered yes I did. President Rosso advised very good job. President Rosso asked why do we even need to increase the fee's, what is in it for the city? I don't see it raising enough money to even worry about for the city and why would I put the extra

expense on my residents? Building Inspector Vogel stated I think they would bring these more in line with what most other places charge. The services that the Building Departments provide like everything else have increased; salaries, benefits, healthcare and so on. Not that I have done research into exactly where all these fees go or how they are distributed but I trust that since they are pretty common in most other places that this is what has been established as fair to keep things moving and departments running and to keep the city's able to maintain the services. President Rosso stated I guess I look at it as we just reduced our costs and swung to where we are probably going to have a positive number out of the Building Department now. So I have enough money to cover my costs and I am making 15% more so just to keep in line with other communities, again I am back to why would I want to charge my residents more. I am not thinking it is going to generate a lot more money for the city and maybe your sitting there saying this guy doesn't know what he is talking about its going to generate 700 million dollars. Correct me here if I am wrong? Mayor Bring stated actually when they looked at that and they told me about this, in some instances we are a little higher and some we are a little lower. All this does is basically a wash, the only thing it is kind of standardizes their fees so that they don't have to make 2 fee schedules so I think that the whole purpose of this. I don't believe it is going to impact our residents too much. Most of the people are doing business are outside contractors in our city but like I said if you looked at the list it is pretty much a wash from it used to be. Some are a little higher and some are a little lower. President Rosso stated like I said I didn't go through every line and add it up. Building Inspector Vogel stated if I may say if we could through every one I am here to defend every one but I think though the way it is laid out it puts the burden on the people who are actually doing the construction on the larger projects not that we want to stick it to them. But if you are going to do the projects and use the services of the city and the Building Department you should be the ones that pay those fees. I think those are the ones that mostly have increased. Most of the commercial fees were decreased for the most part. There are a few and what we do is add a square foot charge, I think that is the largest part of what is happening here. We are adding square foot charges on most of these so what we are saying is as you get larger projects that is going to take us more time to review and inspect and it is going to put a greater burden on the city for services. Those are the type of people that should pay more in permit fees. President Rosso stated that would make sense in my mind if I was paying anymore but whether they give me \$100 or \$200 I am paying the 85% - it doesn't matter. Or again I am missing something here. Law Director Graves stated I would just point out that one of the requirements of any fee that the city charges is that it be reasonable and fairly related to the work that is done. By simply an arbitrary base rate for all inspections, that is not really equitable and by lowering the base

rate and adding a square footage it would ensure that the fee that is paid is more accurately reflected by the size of the project. President Rosso stated I am just trying to look these real quick here to see if any jumps out. Councilman Kovach advised there was one other point that he did make and that is that I believe that there is going to be a better accounting of going back and doing the second and third inspections where we were kind of lacking in that in this area. I think that is part of the coverage there. President Rosso asked is that the re-inspection fee because that didn't change. Building Inspector Vogel advised personally I only charge a re-inspection fee on the second time you have failed. They call for inspection and you fail then I would go back and do it without a re-inspection fee but if you fail on the second time then we would charge a re-inspection fee. That is what a re-inspection fee is, very rarely used – very rarely used. You pay for a permit you get the set of inspections and if you pass them, even if you fail once – that is covered under that permit fee. Councilman Erdei stated I am looking over this and I kind of agree with a lot of this stuff but on the zoning fees – there is quite a jump on them. Building Inspector Vogel advised I am glad you brought that up, I am leaving that up to Council to decide. You could trash that part or could completely eliminate that part if you would like to. I just want to say as I made a few notes, I felt they were very low. When somebody presents a zoning change or a variance or a landsplit, I mean there are things that have to be considered very carefully by this learned group here as well as the Planning Commission and it takes a lot of their time. They have to do research and I don't think that they should be brought to the floor frivolously and if it takes an increase or a large increase which I admit to ensure that people are serious when they make these requests I think it is proper. Again zoning fee part you can eliminate if you don't like them but that is just how I felt to ensure the people are invested when they want to make a huge change to the code that has been evolved here over time and people who are very concerned about doing the right thing the city. President Rosso stated this variance David for \$125, if I want to build my garage not the 12 feet off and I apply for that variance that is where it is currently \$100 and now it would be if this goes through \$400? So anytime I have to get a variance to the current. Law Director Graves stated there is 2 types of variances, you are describing what is known as the area variance which is much more common where you want relief from the code from the area requirements, setbacks and frontages and so forth. There is also use variances where you are asking to use the property for a use that is not currently zoned for. Those are much less common and has much higher burden of proof but it would be the same fee either one. President Rosso stated so asking for a zoning variance or a different use I could understand that being a higher rate than a use. This landsplit, we can't vote no so why do we do anything with it. Can't we just eliminate it or is that a Charter change? Law Director Graves

stated I don't understand what you mean eliminate. President Rosso stated it was my understanding if somebody put in for a landsplit that we could not as a city tell them they can't, they we always had to approve it. Law Director Graves stated well you can put certain restrictions on there and we have where you are not allowed to split a lot that would result in a non-buildable lot. You can put frontage requirements on the residual parcel. President Rosso stated so it is not a rubber stamp. Law Director Graves stated if you are going to deny a landsplit you need to have solid reasons to deny it, it can't be arbitrary but you can put restrictions on when it is consolidated and what type of lots can be split. President Rosso stated but you have to make a decision, it is not an automatic? We made them file and took the \$100 and had to vote yes anyways. But you cleared that one up for me too. Councilman Smith stated I just got to look at it as and I understand the billing of the fees and everything else but the bottom line and cuts through everything for every dollar you increase you make 85% and we make 15% so all you are doing is padding your self-worth to be here on the backs of residents and the contractors and everything else. I got at these some more but bottom line that is exactly what is happening, that is all it is. You guys should have looked at all these permits before you even decided to take the job, you take the job and the first thing you want to do is raise all the permit fees and raise your income by 85% or whatever it is. We are not Avon Lake, we are not Bay Village and we are not Avon and that is who you compared these building permits for. We don't have a ton of land that we are going to be doing all these projects and we don't have a lot of places for new buildings. Avon, Avon Lake and Bay Village – they do, they are building like crazy. They are building shopping centers and shopping malls and all kinds of stuff. This is just a small community, it is just on the backs of the residents and the contractors and I just don't get it. I am going to keep an open mind and look through it. Building Inspector Vogel advised I understand what you are saying, a lot of these came from SAFEbuilt, a lot of these fees came from their standard SAFEbuilt fees and I will have to admit to you I don't know the history of every single one of them and how they came up with these or what they based it on. I trust that it is reflects what it costs to do business to run a Building Department anywhere. I know that what you are saying about the City of Sheffield Lake so it is not maybe exact same as some other communities around here. We could alter these as you would like, I think my main thrust is though was to put the burden on the people who were actually doing the work that is where the square footage comes in. We are not trying to get everybody here you know everybody that walks in to get a permit. We are not trying to increase their fees just basically the ones who are doing larger projects where it will take more time and more effort which puts more burden on the city services. Councilman Smith stated when you increase fees and put it on the contractors all the contractor is going to do is pass it on to the resident, that is all

they are going to do. So the residents are the ones that are going to be ultimately the one that is paying. But our residents are the ones that are going to be paying and the city itself looks to gain 15 cents on the dollar. Building Inspector Vogel advised we charge contractors and they will probably pass it on, I did suggest a small reduction – a reduction in the contractor registration because I do know that it gets passed along to the clients almost every single time. Councilman Smith stated like I said I will keep an open mind and I will look over these and try to justify them. But that is just my opinion. Mayor Bring advised just going back to the fees, yes we are a small town but being a small town we lost \$500,000 and some since 2007. So the residents are still losing money because the city is losing money so who is paying that bill Mr. Smith. That is our point. Councilman Smith advised Dennis I don't want to get into it but when you say we have lost money there is a reason we lost money because our Building Inspector was not manned by administration and we can do that. Mayor Bring stated it had nothing to do with that. Councilman Smith stated he wasn't doing his job. Mayor Bring stated you are way off base because we had 1 person in there and if we did the 2 people that is when we started losing money and then we brought them back and you start paying the health care and insurance and costs associated with all that – that is where we were losing money and that Building Department couldn't continue losing money. That is why we made what we did. So again we may be a small city but if you are losing that much money, the residents are still paying for it because it is their money. President Rosso stated I agree with what you are saying and I guess that is what I was trying to ask originally – we are going to lose money and again tell me if I am really off base here, we are only going to make 15% but we are guaranteed to at least to be positive for a while now. Mayor Bring advised you saw the line items that we just deducted so those bills are all gone from now on so we don't have to worry about all that. President Rosso stated so we did the right thing by where we went to reduce the losses but now if I increase my fees, what am I really doing for the benefit of the city and/or the residents. I think that is what Alan's point is and that is what I was trying to ask earlier. We are not going to make a decision tonight on this but through out everything I could think of tonight and we can all think about it and talk about it over the next few weeks. Mayor Bring stated but sooner or later being a small city we have to make a jump and we have to start thinking a little bit bigger than being small. Just like everything else, with equipment, manpower and everything else. We can't continue to be what we are and provide the services for our residents at a quality rate and that is what we are trying to do and what I have been trying to do is trying to increase some of the equipment, some of the manpower we get and being better services. It is just like the manpower in the Fire Department, the manpower in the Police Department and everything else and it all relates to better services for the community. Yes

everybody pays and I agree but the city has to move forward at some time in this generation we have to do something. President Rosso stated I don't even know if it is possible to do some sort of comparison and say last year at our current fee rate we generated X and at this new fee rate it would have went to Y and are we talking about a small amount of money anyways. I don't have a feel for that, I just was going off no matter what that number was only 15% is going to come back to the city and is it worth 15%. That is all I was going to get to but you are right on the stuff – if we had 2 Building Inspectors over there on our payroll paying all this stuff. We would lose money and we would have to do this just to cover our costs. Mayor Bring stated with insurance companies and everything else now and the Building Inspector signs off on all this and if something fails there is a liability on everything that we do now. So they are responsible for a lot more and that has increased dramatically too. When you are doing larger projects even houses and stuff like that everybody is looking to get a nickel back out of you. They have to carry their own insurances and everything else. President Rosso stated I know you put the chart together over the last so many years saying what our costs were but there is probably not a factor that we could apply to those to say with this new rate because there is so many changes, it is not flat. Mayor Bring stated it is going to take a year, we were just discussing that. Councilman Cizl stated just so I am on the right track, residential fees and commercial fees. Regardless, those fees have to be paid by the resident or the contractor for the resident correct? Building Inspector Vogel answered yes. Councilman Cizl continued if a person does a sidewalk or whatever else in their yard, they have to pay that fee or if they end up hiring a contractor to do that then the contractor has to pay that which obviously they would probably pass it on to the resident? Mayor Bring answered yes. Councilman Cizl stated commercial I am not going to be concerned with, again I am concerned about the residents. When you made your presentation I am still in full favor of our situation going with the company. I am particularly interested in Lorain County – with Cuyahoga County I agree with Alan on that. If you get your stats from Bay, the contractors that probably people will be hiring will be from Lorain County. So personally I am interested in Sheffield Village, Lorain and North Ridgeville. So that I could feel a little closer to comparison with other Lorain County cities. That is what I would be interested in because less than a month ago we hired you and in less than a month we are going back to our residents and I am concerned about that. Building Inspector Vogel answered I could do that. Finance Director Smith stated I do want to mention though that John Cheatham when we presented them that they said they were going to look over our fees and compare and give us recommendation of what to do and that is what this is. I don't think they are looking to just come in and raise fees, I don't want to put that on them because I don't get that feeling here at all. Councilman Cizl stated that was my concern when

they made the presentation because I knew that they would probably have to do that because we probably haven't touched them in years. Law Director Graves stated that is correct they have not been adjusted in a very long time. Councilman Cizl stated I would feel more comfortable. Building Inspector Vogel advised I will do that; North Ridgeville, Lorain and Sheffield Village. I will bring those together. Councilman Cizl stated I could justify it to the residents, it is not out of line with what everybody else is doing. Councilperson Stark stated if somebody came in and I understand if somebody comes in and builds a new house you are expecting to pay all that stuff. Say if we bought a house from bankruptcy and we had to gut the entire inside and do all of that, do we offer some type of discount to some of these fees if they are having to come in and literally do a permit for every single thing in the house if they are gutting the house or do we do like one fee. Is there something that we can do to bring those people in so they would want to do that? Building Inspector Vogel answered I would call that a renovation or a remodel and those fees are a little less than for a new structure, they are a little less. Not a lot less but I think that almost all building departments make the distinction between new and renovation and they are always less – renovations. Personally because when it is new you are bringing more people into town and you will need more services to serve them so that reflects all of that. With renovation the house is already there, you are just fixing it up and it is a little cheaper. Councilperson Stark stated to Alan's point we are probably not going to get a lot of new people coming in and doing a lot of construction but if you are building your own house you expect those fees and I think you expect the increase in fees but we have some older houses that we are trying to rehab to make the city look nicer for people to come in and we don't want to turn these people away who have been doing that. So I understand the adjustment in the fee which we probably need to do but in keeping those people and making it welcoming for them to come in at the same time. Building Inspector Vogel stated in looking at it on the alterations and additions the only changes are really the per square foot fee and this is trying to say that on huge renovations is going to take more time and that is all we are trying to address. But basically the base fees are the same for alterations. Law Director Graves asked is the fee schedule on for Ordinance Committee? Councilman Kovach advised he had brought actually a comparison similar to this for other communities and it was just open for discussion and that was why it was referred here and at that point he said he was just going to do like what our fees were and what they thought that they would bring them up to date. This is most importantly we have plenty of time to discuss this, you are absolutely right. We are not going to move on this, everybody read it and pick it apart and it might even be a good idea to send to Zoning portion to the Zoning Board of Appeals and get their opinion because they do the work and

it is like we are – we don't do a lot of zoning changes. It is not like we need the money tomorrow.

**ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS: Councils Agenda –**

Council#030 – EMERGENCY – an ordinance providing for the establishment and increase and decrease of certain funds within the annual appropriations ordinance of the City of Sheffield Lake, Ohio and the declaring of an emergency.

**MEETING ADJOURNED:** With no further business before this council, Motion by Kovach/Second by Erdei to adjourn at 7:53 PM. Yeas All.

**CLERK OF COUNCIL AFFIRMATION:** This Meeting Of The City Council Of The City Of Sheffield Lake, Ohio Was Held And Conducted Under All Rules And Regulations Governing The Sunshine Laws Of The State Of Ohio As They May Apply. All meetings are recorded and available in council offices.

---

CLERK OF COUNCIL/COMMITTEES  
*Kay Fantauzzi*

---

MAYOR  
*Dennis Bring*

---

COUNCIL PRESIDENT  
*Richard Rosso*

I, Kay Fantauzzi, duly appointed Clerk of Council of Sheffield Lake DO HEREBY CERTIFY that this is a true and exact copy of the Minutes of WORKSESSION of June 16, 2015.

*and/or*

---

COUNCIL PRO TEM  
*Alan Smith*