

**MINUTES OF CITY COUNCIL WORKSESSION  
SHEFFIELD LAKE, OHIO  
June 20, 2017**

The regular meeting of the City Council Worksession was held Tuesday, June 20, 2017. Pro Tem Alan Smith called the meeting to order at 7:19 PM.

**ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS:**

Present: Pro Tem Smith, Mayor Bring, Erdei, Cizl, Gee, Kovach, Wtulich, Superintendent Hastings, Finance Director Smith, Law Director Graves

Absent: President Rosso, Stark (excused)

Attending: Park Board Gee, Members of the Media

**PRESENTATIONS: None.**

\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEES\*\*\*\*\*

**ROADS & DRAINS: None./SAFETY: None./BUILDINGS, LANDS, VEHICLES & EQUIPMENT: None./ORDINANCE:** Chairman Kovach advised items are attached for discussion as follows;

***Residential driveways and additions;*** Pro Tem Smith opened floor for discussion. Councilman Wtulich asked would this be one ordinance for all of these things? Law Director Graves answered yes, it is one ordinance or one piece of legislation that will amend several codified sections of the code, all related to the same subject. Councilperson Gee asked if somebody has an asphalt driveway or wants an asphalt driveway, do they just have to go before the Zoning Board of Appeals. Law Director Graves answered yes if anyone wanted to construct a driveway or addition of material other than concrete, by moving the construction materials out of 903.05 and restating it in 1357 it would enable them to seek a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals. They would still have to show practical difficulties to meet the Duncan Factors. Councilman Wtulich asked so if someone has a gravel driveway and they park a boat on that gravel, would that then have to be hard surface for an RV with this? Councilman Wtulich clarified if someone has a gravel driveway with an addition that is gravel, could they park an RV on that? Would that with the way this is written have to be turned to hard surface now or would it be able to stay gravel? Law Director Graves answered no, under 1357.03a which he read and stated so they would be able to park on an existing gravel driveway. He explained the revisions that Council wanted were done in section 4, the proposed section 1345.01 regarding certificate of occupancy, the language that required a non-compliant gravel driveway addition be corrected upon a transfer

and to a certificate of occupancy, that language has been removed. Also, we have added the language in here that the review for the driveways would be done the Service Director or Building Inspector, previously it only referenced the Service Director. Also under 1357.04 we removed the 10-foot minimum. Pro Tem Smith stated I had a couple of things, not that I am against it, so this is for information only. Concrete versus pavement, why does it have to be concrete versus pavement? Everybody keeps saying concrete, concrete, concrete but is the real difference between concrete driveway and asphalt driveway. Mayor Bring answered the only reason Mr. Graves did that is because that is what is stated already. Councilman Kovach advised that is for new construction. Law Director Graves advised I think most communities require concrete for new construction because it is more durable and also, they want consistency and not mesh mosh of driveways for new construction. Pro Tem Smith stated also, under .03b which he read and asked what does shall be corrected as set forth mean? Law Director Graves advised I forgot to remove that and thank you for pointing that out. We took the language out of section 1357.04 and I missed that one. We will remove the last portion “shall be corrected as set forth herein upon any sale or transfer of the property.” Pro Tem Smith stated the rest seems to be good except for 1357.03c which he read and asked what does that mean, any other surface material other than as set forth herein? What is other material set forth? Law Director Graves advised that means that you can’t have any motor vehicle parked on any other surface other than what is allowed for in this ordinance. That would be concrete for new construction, existing gravel, asphalt or concrete for primary driveways. Pro Tem Smith stated according to this, this covers any place on your entire property; backyard or side yard. So, if you own a jet ski and you want to slide it in your backyard and cover it up for the winter you can’t do it right? Law Director Graves answered no, trailers and such have to be on a driveway. Pro Tem Smith stated which we don’t have enough of anyway, so that is really my only issue with this whole thing. You are stepping into rear and side yard boundaries of the property owner and now you are going to dictate what they can do on their whole property which I totally disagree with. Like I said the right of a property owner, this is a recreational town; boats, RV’s, trailers, camper popups, jet skis. For the winter time, everybody wants to put them in their backyard and put a cover over them. They don’t have room in their driveway now because they can’t park on the streets so they are adding gravel driveways so they can park their cars. I think that issue is the only thing that I see that needs to be addressed for the resident. Councilman Wtulich concurred. Law Director Graves stated under 341.01 which is not in the ordinance, it is elsewhere in the code which defines motor vehicles which he read. Mayor Bring stated I kind of agree with you but everybody now is taking their junk vehicles in the backyard on grass and just throwing them back there. Pro Tem Smith stated they

should be allowed to put gravel down for them or on something but not concrete because it would cost way too much. Mayor Bring stated if there was a hard surface then I wouldn't have an issue with that either. But I can show you several pictures where they have drug them back there and just left them and have said you can't do anything. Pro Tem Smith stated it is ashamed that the few people in this community that abuse it do nothing but force the person who is trying to do it right to have to lose. You know it is my backyard and you don't need to know what I am doing back there unless I am causing an issue. That is the only issue that I see that needs to be addressed because they now have an opportunity to go to Zoning if they want gravel. Mayor Bring stated the other thing is that it is properly registered when it is in your backyard; license plates are expired, watercraft stickers, campers with proper plates or whatever because then they become a junk vehicle. Pro Tem Smith stated providing you can get in my backyard. Mayor Bring answered neighbors are now calling in which they are allowing us to go in their backyard, so then we can view it for proof. Councilman Wtulich agreed with Pro Tem Smith on issue. Councilperson Gee offered the bottom line is we can't please everybody in this city and we have been playing around with this for more then a year. This one is much better than the first one that came before Council because it is giving the residents a large section of leeway. I really think that more then likely most of the residents that have jet skis already have that little padded area in their backyard, be it gravel or whatever which means they are already allowed to do it. If it is done before we pass this. Law Director Graves stated it would have to meet the standards. Pro Tem Smith stated if we go three readings we can discuss it at least for one more Worksession. Councilman Wtulich asked is there anyway we can make the backyard separate from the rest of it? We had the driveway additions and then we kind of added this backyard thing onto it. Councilman Cizl answered well it is connected unfortunately. I see what you are saying but people aren't parking in driveways so they are going to the backyard. So that is how it is kind of connected but I think it needs resolved though. Pro Tem Smith stated I know what you are saying, if I would go out and buy one right now and I just have my driveway then I wouldn't want to put it back there. Councilperson Gee advised because of the size of our property, we are already past the amount of footage coverage that we can have. We have a Harley and we can't even pour a cement pad if we wanted to. We can't do it because of the way the ordinances read, we already have too much pavement on our property. I am sure a lot of the homes that own jet skis are going to come across the same issue. There are certain parts of the city there is not the property space anyhow. Mayor Bring advised you guys can amend it. Councilman Cizl stated you mentioned trailers, you are talking motor vehicles, utility trailers? Law Director Graves explained under the definition of recreation vehicles, it does talk about travel trailers, campers, motor homes, boat, boat trailers, snow mobile

trailers or any vehicle or equipment of a similar type or kind. So, that encompasses quite a few types of trailers right there. Then what I had pointed out before under 341.01 of the traffic code sections further defines motor vehicle to include trailers. It seems like the intent of the code in sections to include trailers within the definition of motor vehicle and recreation vehicles. Councilman Cizl stated so my question is if someone has a riding lawn mower and they use a trailer, they have to put it on a pad? Law Director Graves answered it has to be on an approved driveway. Pro Tem Smith stated this is what bothers me, it is every bit of your property, you can't put anything basically. Councilman Cizl stated if it is a trailer that you take on the streets, it has to be licensed. That is one thing but if you are using it in your backyard to mow grass or leaves then I have a problem then. Pro Tem Smith stated it probably should be specific because I don't think you are going to go around taking pictures of everybody's backyard of what is in it. How are you going to know what was there now versus what was there before. I kind of agree with Bill, we could just remove that section right there and then deal with that as a separate issue and just get this thing passed. Mayor Bring advised I totally agree with you. Councilman Wtulich stated just leave backyards out of it. Law Director Graves advised if you look at 351.21 which he read and stated that is something that we wrestle with all the time and it has desperately been needed to be clarified for many years. In working with the Police and driving around the city you see cars parked here, there and everywhere. Where can you park, park in the backyard, side yard or backyard. It needs to be clear where you can park and then you get into a definition of rear yard, side yard and then people have garages in their rear yard. What Planning Commission recommended and what the Committee recommended was that it be defined as limited parking on an approved driveway. Pro Tem Smith stated try to deal with the driveway without including the..... Part of this section {c}, I don't know how to remove it to put the thing in there to get the driveway part through. I don't know if that is what everybody wants to do but that is my opinion. Law Director Graves asked is the concern related to the definition of motor vehicle and recreational vehicles? In other words, is there a problem with limiting motor vehicles to park in their driveways when we are talking about smaller trailers? Pro Tem Smith answered not my issue, mine is invading the privacy of the property owner moving into their back and side yards and telling them what they can do in their own property which it does. Front yards – I get it but backyards or side yards, that is my private area and is none of your business. Mayor Bring advised but if you put a pool in the backyard you have to put a fence around it, so that is a rule. There are rules that you have to obey. Pro Tem Smith stated somewhere you have to take a stand but if I want to have a trailer in my backyard it shouldn't be any concern of the city. Whether I have a trailer or my jet skis back there. That is what this goes to, that is my issue is the right of the

property owner. What I have back there is really nobody's business unless it is a health and safety issue or causing a stink odor like over on West Drive with pigs in the backyard, I get that. Councilman Cizl stated I may disagree with you on that fact, first of all to clarify with David yes, my issue is with the motor vehicles versus trailers. But if we allow for people to do their own privacy thing in their backyards then they will do everything that they can in their backyards and nothing can be enforced. They will put everything in their backyard. I respect the privacy issue but when there is property owners behind that have to look at all the vehicles in the backyard. Pro Tem Smith stated then you have got property maintenance codes that are all on the books, that is all there. You can have the best ordinance in the world but if you have a crappy neighbor they are going to call on you for every little thing that you do. Councilman Cizl stated I just don't want to see people putting their trucks and their trailers and everything else in their backyard because they can't put it on their driveway. Pro Tem Smith stated like he said they have to be licensed and they have to be on something, you can't just put it dirt and stone. Councilman Kovach asked do we have similar wording to that in the Property Maintenance code David? The reason I am asking is if perhaps we were to move this into the Property Maintenance code area as opposed to the driveway and driveway additions because it would be covering where the vehicles have to be licensed and it would fit where if there is 12 cars back there and it becomes a Property Maintenance issue. Pro Tem Smith stated I think you can only have 4. Finance Director Smith advised I think that is dogs and cats. (laughter was heard) Law Director Graves advised no, you can have as many as you want as long as they are on driveways. Pro Tem Smith stated the only reason I say that is when the guy moved in next to my Dad's house he put a crap load of cars there. I thought there was an ordinance or something that said like 6 or 7. Councilman Kovach advised no that is you can only sale so many before you have to become a licensed automobile dealer. Law Director Graves advised some communities have ordinances that say if you have more then so many cars you have to be a dealer and zoned properly. Mayor Bring stated I don't think those were all properly licensed and that is how we got them. Councilman Kovach stated I am just suggesting that maybe that if it would fit better into the property maintenance code or another area. If you keep reading it, yes if it is in an existing driveway addition but that is really not what it is spelling out there. Law Director Graves stated the problem with going about it that way though is it is going to be very subject to interpretation. It is going to be general language; public nuisance, eyesore, offensive and you are always going to be making a judgement over whether this person's yard meets that level. Councilman Wtulich stated but isn't it though that extreme cases that should be obvious that we are really trying to avoid in this. Pro Tem Smith stated that is what we are really trying to avoid. Councilman Wtulich stated it should be easy but

that is my feeling on it. Councilman Erdei stated I agree, your backyard is your backyard and if I had a little trailer or whatever that carried my lawnmower and I didn't have room and I wanted to pull it along the side of my garage or in the backyard couldn't that go before zoning for an approval of that. I am kind of stuck on that too a little bit. Councilman Kovach stated you could take a shot with Zoning but there is no guarantee that you are going to meet the standards. Mayor Bring concurred you have to prove a hardship. Law Director Graves explained for an area variance you have to prove practical difficulties and there is a number of factors that you have to look at taken from the case of Duncan vs Middlefield and generally there are anomalies or problems with the land that are abnormal shaped lots or unusually small lots. It is so hard, you have to show a hardship so that the code shouldn't apply to you and it cannot be a self-created hardship. You can't say well I decided to buy 6 cars and now I need a 4-car garage, it can't be self-created. It has to be a problem usually with the land. So, if you have an odd shaped lot or rather abnormally small lot like Mrs. Gee said that might be something that they would consider. Again, you have to prove your case, prove those factors. Pro Tem Smith asked if you put in "not in front yards" is that a way of dealing with the parking issue? Law Director Graves advised the way it is read and you take out, the italicized is new, the stricken line is what is removed. What it has always read is the parking of motor vehicles and recreational vehicles in the front yards. It said something like you can't park them in the front yard unless it is on a driveway. It has always been ambiguous about parking things in the rear yard. Pro Tem Smith stated what I just said about front yards, doesn't deal with side or rear yards. Law Director Graves answered that is where we have always been before and it has been a problem. Then people are having 3 cars parked in the grass behind their house and all the neighbors are looking at it and then the city says we really don't know if we can enforce that. Pro Tem Smith stated the issue we have here is gravel extensions and gravel driveways, that is what we have been dealing with. Not the backyard, not parking in the backyard, none of that. Somehow, we got all intertwined and combined. Law Director Graves advised the parking is intertwined, the driveway and the parking is all one thing. Where can we park these vehicles and the only reason they are putting the gravel in is to park something there. They are not just doing it to look at it. Pro Tem Smith stated we didn't have anything that dealt with parking in the rear. Law Director Graves answered this section here is probably a bigger issue...we have been having a hard time with this for years. You guys can decide, if you want to take it out then take it out but this is something in my opinion having to prosecute these cases and work with the Police is that clarity in these languages is essential. Councilperson Gee stated if your property is so big that you need a riding lawnmower with a trailer then you don't have this issue anyway Alan. Let's be honest. I am not sure why the

lawnmowers and the trailers for the lawnmowers came up. Pro Tem Smith stated I only have an 80-foot lot but I still use it. Councilperson Gee asked they have a trailer for it? Committee concurred. It was explained a utility trailer that hooks onto the riding lawnmower. Councilman Cizl stated if I have to tell my neighbors to include myself that they have to put it on pavement that is kind of crazy. Pro Tem Smith stated that is what my big argument before was about interpretation. Councilman Cizl stated licensed trailer would solve it for me. Councilman Kovach stated in the first place that is a cart, that is not a trailer. A utility trailer has got a license plate on it. Besides that, a person would usually put that in a shed. Councilman Cizl stated I have a 6X8 foot trailer which I made out of wood and it sits on a frame. My neighbor has a utility trailer which are a little bit smaller but I only use it and then store it behind my shed next to the woods. It is never going to be on the road and I am never going to put a license on it. Councilman Erdei stated maybe if we could just eliminate just having cars or any kind of motor vehicle and you could get the Zoning Board to let you put in a 4X8 little place for your trailer or motorcycle. If you have a gravel driveway already, you could put in another 4X8 boxed in gravel for your lawnmower or whatever. Pro Tem Smith advised personally I think we are shooting from the hip right here. Everybody is coming up with all kinds of ideas. Councilman Kovach stated I think it should go 3 readings and we have plenty of time to continue to discuss this one additional paragraph that everybody has a concern with. Pro Tem Smith stated is everybody good with that. No nays were heard. Law Director Graves noted for clarification, I will add some whereas clauses and I will delete that last half of the sentence of 1357.05 and will have it ready for a first reading. Pro Tem Smith stated we will still have another Worksession to talk about it.

***Proposed commercial building & miscellaneous fees to include zoning fees;***

Pro Tem Smith opened the floor for discussion which Law Director Graves explained the proposal, this is a revised table that the Building Inspector prepared and the notes that you see on it are the notes that I made because I just got this today. The first thing I did was to go and try to find the ordinances that supported all of the fees that they listed as the current fees. The first page is found under 1361.16 and if you go to the second page, the Industrial Unit and that is part of Plan Review just so you know what that means. Fire Suppression and Alarm, that is also under Plan Review and I don't know why that is carved out as a specific item, actually that is the same fee and could easily be included under Plan Review for all other trades. We have had something on our fee application for a long time called land sale and in speaking with the Building Inspector, nobody really knows what that is for and nobody know what it is charged for. I can't find an ordinance that references it, so I think we ought to just delete that. In skipping to the bottom one, the Board of Building Appeals, there is currently no fee for this but as you

know the Zoning Board of Appeals is authorized to hear appeals of not only the zoning and planning but also building code so that fee should be set forth. I talked to Jeff about it and he originally put \$500.00 and then he said TBD. My recommendation would be that that be \$400.00, just like the proposed zoning variance change. That is a big increase over the current \$100.00 fee. The application for a land split is the fee of \$100.00, the ordinance actually listed it at \$50.00 and the application for zoning change list it at \$450.00 and the ordinance is \$400.00. I don't know when or how that happened on that application fee or application form. Pro Tem Smith verified these are all commercial correct? Law Director Graves answered yes except the zoning and building appeals fees, these are all commercial and many of them are decreases. Pro Tem Smith stated such big increases on the variances for zoning and zoning change. Are those the going rates or is it something that someone just pulled out of their head? So, if I want to go in front of the Zoning Board of Appeals for a variance I have to pay \$400.00, instead of \$100.00 as a resident? Law Director Graves answered that is what the proposal is. Councilperson Gee stated so let me get this straight, there is some concern with the zoning and building appeals fees that residents would have? This is the very first time that we are working on this. Pro Tem Smith stated are some of these increases going to put us way out as far as commercial building codes. Councilman Wtulich stated they are almost all decreases on the front page. Like the first one, new construction I think I figured it right that on a 10,000 square foot addition you are almost doubling the fees from \$612.50. Mayor Bring advised if it is 10,000 square foot then you got a lot of money. Pro Tem Smith stated I know, I was just using that as a example. That is not putting it way out there. Mayor Bring stated if you guys aren't comfortable with the zoning ones then just change it. These were actually compatible to other communities. But I do agree with you on the \$400.00, that is too much money. Pro Tem Smith stated the commercial fees as long they are within what the ranges are and we are not overpricing it to where people would rather say I'm not going there because their fees are too high, I will go over here and do it. Councilperson Gee stated either way on that one, we do have to correct where the ordinance says one fee and we have been charging at a different fee. Pro Tem Smith stated everybody can take time and everybody can do the figuring, you know what the square footage is and you can see the decreases and increases are. Councilman Cizl stated originally when we did these a couple of years ago, we had a comparison with other cities. How are the zoning and building appeal fees that are being proposed here compared to other cities? Mayor Bring answered I don't know that to be honest with you but I still have to agree with Alan. I think that \$100.00 is more than adequate enough. The only thing is when you get a separate board meeting, there is a lot of work to put into it but that is probably why they charge extra money for that because is quite a bit that goes into that. Pro Tem



Smith advised I wouldn't have a problem increases it some but that much seems awfully huge. Pro Tem Smith stated you can gradually increase it a little bit. Councilman Kovach advised these are estimates and we can do anything we want with them that we please. Councilman Cizl stated I just wondered where they came from. Councilman Kovach stated I am guessing that these are probably a standard of the areas around and the reason that some of them seem like they are radically increased is that they haven't been changed in so long in this community. Pro Tem Smith stated well this is informational, this is what you guys are discussing in Ordinance right? Councilperson Gee answered yes. Pro Tem Smith advised then everybody has time to look it over and do their figures and if you have any questions, we will have a Worksession and the next time talk about it when they come up./**FINANCE:** meeting had prior to this meeting./**PARK BOARD:** Member Kovach advised we met last night and I will have a report for you at next week's Council meeting. Pro Tem Smith commented I do see a lot more kids in the parks. I really do and even with the soccer fields down there, I come by there every day from work and there are kids always there and even when I drive through back in here, kids are always there. So, whatever you guys are doing, it is working.

\*\*\*\*\***ADMINISTRATIVE**\*\*\*\*\*

**MAYOR: None./FINANCE DIRECTOR: None./SUPERINTENDENT:**

Councilman Kovach asked how much of the project is going to be as far as the Lake Breeze project before Community Days. Superintendent Hastings reported they made a live tap on the 12-inch main on Lake Road today and now they are moving south from there. They already have hydrants placed down by Ivanhoe, I would suspect we will be clear of Apples and everything. The first of July so you will still have a 2-week window. Obviously, the construction will be going on during the carnival but the crew and the work will be down Lake Breeze or farther. The only impact to the carnival will be small staging area in the southwest corner of Apples in the very back. But yes I just talked to the foreman today about that and explained there would be thousands of people going to be descending on the city and I will stung from a tree. (laughter was heard in chambers) He continued the project is admittedly delayed as some of the materials came late, first excavation to connect to the main had some fittings and repair clamps that goes back to the 20's. So, I was frantically digging out maps but we are well underway. I think we will be very clear and we are very cognizant of the event. Councilman Wtulich stated Pat, the water line runs down the west side of the road then are all those aprons and sidewalks not going to be effected? Superintendent Hastings advised that was Columbia Gas. Again, the project is in 2 phases, so the water main is first and then the city was awarded a grant and the OPWC loans for phase 2 which would be the repaving and curb rehabilitation and spot zoning. None of which would impact and if it does it would be fully restored to brand new.

Councilman Cizl asked I noticed Freedom Park, there is materials there, is that for the new roof? Superintendent Hastings answered yes that is the metal for the new roof. He advised I might be able to get a 3-man crew there tomorrow. Councilman Cizl stated my other question was when we discussed this earlier with Dillewood, you were talking about the Post Office with the mail boxes trying to decide which side. What was the purpose of why they all have to be on one side, nobody complained but I was just kind of walking by there? Mayor Bring answered because the road is narrower and if you go down and you go past then you have to pull over and people are actually almost hitting the mail boxes. So, if there are no mail boxes on the one side and you pull over you are not going to hit them with your mirror. Superintendent Hastings suggested as an example, ride down a street like Treadway where they are all on one side and there is several in the community. Once the city takes...obviously, Devonshire is on the road paving target list, once that is done the Mayor and I have talked about doing an 18-inch berm which would further extend the width of that road and then with the mailboxes. Yes, it will have the illusion but also it will be physically substantially wider. That has been a big complaint, it is tricky for cars and trucks to navigate past one another in the current setup. There was a brief discussion on mailbox locations./**LAW DIRECTOR:** Law Director Graves advised you do have before a proposed piece of legislation for a proposed Day Care Center. We are still waiting on some documentation on this from them but assuming that the city receives that satisfactory documentation this could be added to the agenda for the next Council. Pro Tem Smith asked where are they going in the shopping center? Mayor Bring answered in the old bank. Superintendent Hastings advised Charter One Bank used to be there, the unit has been vacant for some time but it is surprisingly in very good shape. Councilman Wtulich asked do they have to have a play area for a Day Care? Superintendent Hastings answered she has explored that, they have to have one within walking distance as I recall which we do have the park. She has also discussed expanding that. This particular woman was like the executive director for some of the programs and operations in Elyria, one of which is by the Midway Mall. She did share with the Mayor and I when I was doing the legwork getting the materials and everything for the Mayor and David...her licensing and so forth and she did discuss things such as the playground and so forth. So, she is obviously well versed with 16 years into it. Somehow, she explained. that you could start it and expand./**COMMUNICATIONS: None./OLD BUSINESS: None. NEW BUSINESS:** Councilperson Gee stated Saturday, August 26<sup>th</sup> there is a 5K run for the Arts and the proceeds will be going to Brookside High School for the Cardinals Athletic Program. Anyone that is interested it starts at 9 AM and the course is from Brookside High School, Harris Road and the finish line is French Creek Nature Center on Colorado Avenue. I have the information here on the run and if anyone

is interested, you can always contact the schools or go online to see it. Councilman Wtulich stated just to reiterate what the Mayor said, I did talk to one of the Lieutenants about fire truck and they are really happy with the older truck. It has also been talked about in Safety too, the money savings rather than buying a new one. But they really do like that truck. Law Director Graves advised there is actually an organization and the gentleman that is actually spearheading this is actually a DJ and he is involved in a lot of "Cruisin Classic" type events where people bring their restored classic cars and show them on summer nights and they are very interested in an on-going relationship with Sheffield Lake and the proposal would be to have a show every Thursday throughout the summer at the shopping center. He has insurance and he promotes it and we have talked to them and it sounds pretty good. We are verifying that our insurance would provide coverage for something like that. Of course, we would have them sign a permit that would hold the city harmless and agrees to limit of certain areas and agrees to restore the area to the way that they found it; free of debris and everything. He would promote local businesses if they would donate door prizes. It sounds like a good thing and they would keep it limited to an area of the shopping center where it wouldn't interfere with any traffic for the stores and it sounds like it could be a pretty good thing. I think we would probably be doing that right? Pro Tem Smith stated there was just one at Weiss Field, it was pretty good. Superintendent Hastings advised that is the same guy, he actually put the show on here at Hardee's. Councilman Kovach asked when he is going to start? Law Director Graves answered they would like to get started as soon as possible. The holdup has kind of been on our end but we are pretty much ready to go. Superintendent Hastings stated we took care of the center in Shoreway parking lot, all of the pot holes filled. We have street sweeping currently going on down Ferndale, Harris, Lake Breeze. They are going to be at Cove Beach, Erieview, Thelma and Gayle tomorrow and I am going to have them zip through Shoreway as well. So, that area will be done and Tammy and I will be in contact with Custis and should have that answer in a couple of days for the Mayor to make the final decision. It could start as soon as next week.

**ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS: Councils Agenda –**

Council#029 – SECOND READING – a resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into an agreement with Payment Service Network, Inc., to provide online payment services and the declaring of an emergency.

Councilman Wtulich asked what is the cost on that, just minimal? Finance Director Smith answered it is like \$300.00 a year, if that just for fee's and there is an initial setup fee. It might not even be that much because the cost is carried onto whoever plans on using it to make payments and that is not that expensive. Councilman

Wtulich stated that will be nice. Finance Director Smith concurred and advised that will link right off of our website too.

Council#030 – SECOND READING – an ordinance vacating a portion of Hawthorne Avenue.

Pro Tem Smith stated I know why we are doing it but what if he doesn't do it. Can it be contingent on? Law Director Graves answered we are discussing some considerations in exchange for the city vacating this property and him acquiring this property and that might involve some shared cost for the surveying and engineering and all that. There has been an informal agreement that he would donate a portion of his property adjacent from Pleasantview. So, we are in those discussions.

Council#031 – SECOND READING – an ordinance establishing section 1151.07 of the codified ordinances of the City of Sheffield Lake regarding residential HVAC condensing units and generators.

Council#033 – SECOND READING – a resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into an agreement with the Lorain County Office on Aging and the declaring of an emergency.

**MEETING ADJOURNED:** With no further business before this council, Motion by Kovach/Second by Erdei to adjourn at 8:27 pm. Yeas All.

**CLERK OF COUNCIL AFFIRMATION:** This Meeting Of The City Council Of The City Of Sheffield Lake, Ohio Was Held And Conducted Under All Rules And Regulations Governing The Sunshine Laws Of The State Of Ohio As They May Apply. All meetings are recorded and available in council offices.

---

CLERK OF COUNCIL/COMMITTEES

*Kay Fantauzzi*

I, Kay Fantauzzi, duly appointed Clerk of Council of Sheffield Lake DO HEREBY CERTIFY that this is a true and exact copy of the Minutes of WORKSESSION of June 20, 2017.

---

MAYOR

*Dennis Bring*

---

COUNCIL PRESIDENT

*Richard Rosso*