

Work Session March 17, 2026

**MINUTES OF CITY COUNCIL WORKSESSION
SHEFFIELD LAKE, OHIO
March 17, 2026**

The regular meeting of the City Council Work Session was held Tuesday, March 17, 2026. President Rosso called the meeting to order at 7:14 PM.

ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS:

Present: Rosso, Kovach, Morrow, Fogel, DeBottis, Petrucci, Gee, Cizl, Law
Director Ward.

Excused: Mayor Radeff, Finance Director Rummell, Service Director Hastings.

Attending: Council Clerk Randolph, Citizens

PRESENTATIONS: President Rosso says late this afternoon, or 3-ish, Mr. Morrow and I talked, and he made a suggestion, and I agreed it was a good idea. We're going to move the in-house engineer presentation to the April work session. So, we are going to have the presentation from Mr. Anderson on Harbor Woods. The 30 some questions we had, he provided answers for. What's before council next Tuesday is to vote on the concept plan. So, the infrastructure, if you will, the drainage and everything, as he mentions in here, will all be up to what our building requirements are. Until he gets the concept approval, then he gets the engineering drawings done, he meets with the city engineers, and they go over everything and finalize it, that will come back to council for a final vote. So, I don't want any of you to think that next Tuesday, if we approve the concept, that that means it's all done. That just lets him go on to the next step.

Brent Anderson, Rafter A Land Surveying and Engineering states I was before council a few years ago with a very similar project. As a matter of fact, it was almost double in size. At that point, we did receive approval, full approval to move forward. Again, after that, we started moving forward with some of those studies. One of them, was the wetland study, which showed a significant amount of wetlands on the property, as opposed to some of the initial comments we received from a wetland's consultant. So, that has led us to rethink and resize, essentially, the project. So, that's what you see in front of you today. We're coming back forward with a different conceptual plan and PRD rezoning request to, again, kind of kick this off and get the project moving forward again. There's a lot of engineering, a lot of studies and things like that that still do need to be done. Again, we're going for that conceptual approval at this point in time and rezoning so that we know we can move forward with the project on this particular property. Brandy, was nice enough to send over your comments and questions and I do appreciate those. It lets me understand what the concern is right off the bat. So, when we do get to the point where we're working on engineering and all those things, that we can make sure

Work Session March 17, 2026

we're treating those sensibly and understanding and answering fully all your questions. I want to make sure we're very transparent about what we're doing with stormwater, what we're doing with sanitary sewers, water line, how things are getting built up. We want to make sure your questions are answered. The problem is, we're not at that point in the engineering design yet to answer a lot of those questions. So, I know some of the answers are kind of vague in nature, but that's just where we're at with the project. We won't be able to get to that step until we know that we're able to move forward to a certain extent. Councilman DeBottis asks will this development have sidewalks? Mr. Anderson replies the idea right now is a wider road that has a striped area for sidewalk use. Essentially, they are like, including the asphalt path along with the sidewalk that's part of the road design. It's going to be a private road. That's why the vacation is being requested that way. It's all maintained by the developers. So, no concrete sidewalks, but yes, a walking path area on the road itself. Councilman Morrow says I just want to say that I've talked to quite a few residents. It's well received, but there's always a yeah but. The yeah but is the storm water and the drainage. A lot of them have problems in their backyards already. That's like a make or break for the project, because if that's not addressed, that's one of the big things. They generally like the Treadway development, which I believe was what you guys had done. There's just a few negative comments, but I think overall, generally, as long as you do your homework on the drainage, I think people will be happy with it. Mr. Anderson responds we plan on doing that. Just so everybody is aware, this area, it is already a platted subdivision. It actually has its own sanitary sewer line that comes in. It doesn't extend all the way into it as planned at that time. The drawings are actually already produced in 1959. Same with the storm system that was to go in there. Unfortunately, it seemed like the water line went in and maybe a little bit of a cut roadbed, but then that was it, and everything stopped. To be honest with you, I would actually, I would probably put money on the fact that there's a lot of water issues to the fact that it was never actually completed at that time. There was never the full grading and things to actually take care of a lot of water. So, again, with our design, we will completely comply with all the rules and regulations. You guys have two sections in your code, 945, dealing with the settlement and road control during construction, and 946 for permanent post-construction stormwater. Those are all things that, again, we will be working with your city engineer to review to make sure they're all up to code, all up to not just the city code, but the State of Ohio code when it comes to sediment control and all those types of things. I take that very seriously when we're looking at projects and things like that, and we just want to make sure it's done right and up to your code. Councilman DeBottis asks can I ask why no sidewalks? Mr. Anderson replies it being a private development, we're just going to keep it essentially a private development. So, again, the sidewalks are striped on the side of the pavement, so it's not necessarily going to have a solid concrete walk going around, but there is a place for pedestrian foot traffic vs

Work Session March 17, 2026

pedestrian road traffic. So, there are still walkable paths for people to utilize, but just not your standard concrete sidewalk. There's a couple other developments I can think of that have that same kind of concept, where they're utilizing these very low-speed traffic areas. This is not a through road, so you're not going to have cars trying to get across to the other side of town or anything like that. So, the idea that there's a lot of high, heavy traffic moving around in here lends itself to something like this where we have that separation between, just kind of a normal walking, very quiet road, walking path right off the side. Councilman DeBottis says a couple of questions that you answered were mine and I didn't really get a clear answer. So, with your covenants with the Treadway Ponds, I asked is it going to be similar or the same? Mr. Anderson replies a couple of questions, to be honest with you, were a little bit, I was trying to interpret where you were coming from. I'm assuming by covenants you mean the HOA documentation. Is that correct? Councilman DeBottis says I can tell you exactly where I'm coming from. Mr. Anderson replies yes, that's be great, because I'm not sure. Councilman DeBottis says the first section is section 7-24, sales/leasing, or other alienation living. So, the one part that I had a question of says the lease agreement shall be at least 12 months, so if these units aren't sold, I'm assuming they can be leased, or if they are sold, that the person living them can lease them out. Correct? Mr. Anderson replies Are you talking about HOA documents? Is that where you're getting this information? I don't know where you're getting this information. Councilman DeBottis replies this is your HOA registered with the Lorain Auditor. Mr. Anderson responds I did not prepare the HOA documents. That would be a question for the lawyer that was involved in preparing this. I can't actually answer that side of the question for you as to what those covenants are specifically referring to at this point. I would be out of bounds of what I know about that particular covenant document. President Rosso asks if we look and realize we didn't ask a question, would it be all right if we email you and then you could follow up with the appropriate person and get an answer back instead of waiting til next Tuesday? Mr. Anderson replies that would be fine. I would also say that at the time of, again, those are HOA documents for Treadway and the Treadway area. If there's concerns that you see in those documents, the time that we could review all those types of things is when we're going forward with the HOA documents and developer's agreements on this particular project. I want to make sure we understand that they're 2 separate projects, right? What was passed back then was also done under a previous zoning code. Everything has changed since then. So, I guess I don't want to get stuck in the weeds of saying that particular covenant is or that particular part of that code will or won't be part of this project. Let's make sure we work those questions and concerns out when we get to the part where we're developing the developer's agreement, the HOA documentation, things like that. Councilman DeBottis says the one that concerns me the most, and again, I'm surprised you didn't know this, but it says units shall not apply. So, none of this for leasing and so forth shall not apply to the developer. So,

Work Session March 17, 2026

basically all these rules and regs here on subleasing or leasing out the units, when it comes to the developer, it does not apply, it says. I'm assuming that if some of these don't sell, that was the main reason for my question, is that if you bought them back and then you wanted to lease them out, you could do it for long-term, you could do Section 8, you could do whatever you want with them, because it says these rules do not apply to the developer. Mr. Anderson responds we are moving forward with this plan. You guys are being presented with a plan that is fee-simple lots that are being sold. That is the intent of this townhome-style subdivision. It is not intended to be rental units in any way, shape, or form. You had the question about Section 8. It is not intended for these to be a Section 8 development, or we don't anticipate it even being applicable under those specific Section 8 rules. Again, I'm not a lawyer, so I can't tell you all those specific rules. I deal with the engineering and that side of things from that standpoint. So, that's a little bit out of my realm of being able to fully give you the exact consensus. Councilwoman Gee says there's multiple components to this. So, I'm understanding that there's the development plan, the concept, and the rezoning. I know we have to vote separately on all of those. Which one will be the first thing coming before Council? President Rosso replies the concept plan Tuesday. That will be the first vote. Law Director Ward states they were all being considered together. The zoning amendment can't be voted upon until after the public hearing. It's different from the conceptual. President Rosso states we're not rezoning, we haven't gotten the legislation yet. That will be 3 readings once it hits council's agenda. Law Director Ward says the thought was that it would be up for initial reading next week so that by the time we get to the third reading, it will be after the public hearing. Vacation of roads has to go through buildings & lands and then back to council. You own all that property on there, right, where those roads are now? Mr. Anderson replies yeah, there's a couple areas where we don't own the property and that's why we're not, there was a question about vacating that road. We don't own that half if it were to be vacated, so we can't sign off on that part of the road being vacated. Brief back and forth about schedule of events. Councilwoman Petrucci says I know that some of the residents own the property behind, and it's a vacant property. So then, but if you look over to the right, it marks off C, D, E, F, and then K and J. What does that mean? What are those designated? Mr. Anderson replies those are just the owners, it was hard to get the names of the owners. Councilwoman Petrucci asks then the area where it says conservation park area, 6.63 acres, and then south of all of that, where it's kind of shaded more red, will that remain all trees and things? Mr. Anderson responds at this point in time, there's no plans for developing that property. It's actually wetland area. It's just not feasible to do any kind of rezoning. Councilwoman Petrucci says that's kind of where I got a lot of feedback before from residents about not wanting to see a bunch of trees, all those trees coming down, so I think that would make it much more agreeable. Councilman DeBottis asks so you said the red area is the wetlands area? Mr. Anderson replies yeah. Councilman DeBottis asks

Work Session March 17, 2026

and you could develop, I'm assuming, in the future there. Mr. Anderson responds so, the wetlands, when they're designated, they're designated for essentially a 5-year time period when they have to be brought back out, and brought back out, and so on and so forth or you could buy wetlands credits and mitigate and go on from there. I can't say that it wouldn't ever be developed, but it's not in the plan at this point in time. There was a question about the area, and it is the 9.01 acres that is planned to be developed at this point in time. Councilman Fogel says my question was about partnering with the city to provide funds for a park. Do you think you might be able to set up a meeting with the mayor, maybe myself, before Tuesday? George Duozos, owner of Harbor Woods replies yeah, I'm sure we can set something up. Councilman Fogel says I'd just like to see that in motion before I make my decision. President Rosso asks I thought I heard somewhere 40 some units? Mr. Anderson replies yeah, that's what we're looking at. Councilwoman Petrucci says so, 8 buildings of 5 units each. What is the single-family home? Mr. Anderson replies there's been a request by a gentleman and this gentleman has requested to kind of build his forever home and have a nice spot for it. It's a little bit odd just because there is no way to access that area because of the wetlands, the road. There's no way to construct it, things like that. So, the approach to the builder about this, and so it would be something where he would be coming off of our private drive. Again, easements and things like that will have to be set up with HOA between this owner and the HOA and how that's maintained and taken care of. Essentially, it would be that one single-family home on the back of that that he would like to purchase and build his home on. So that's what you see. Yes, it is a little bit of an odd duck on the end of the project, but at the same time, you know, it's going to be back kind of hidden by itself off of this group. Councilman Fogel asks what would be the value of that home? Mr. Douzos replies I actually don't really want to answer that right now because we're still working with the HOA and finalize things. It all depends on how large of the home he wants, how he wants to finish it out, but I can tell you more than the townhouses. Also, I just want to be up front. Trees will be coming down in the areas we're working, right? There's no way around that. Around some of the wetland areas, we will be cleaning up trees for a few reasons. Some of them are dead, potential liability of falling on neighbors. The other thing I do want to mention, I know Brent touched on this as far as sidewalks. This is going to be considered a private community. Again, it's not being set up for, and I don't want to say this the wrong way, but it's not a thorough way for public to come through, access, and get to the other side because there is no other side, okay? We are doing somewhat of a sidewalk along the road just for the homeowners that are there, get to their mailbox, that sort of thing. The other thing is Section 8; we are nowhere near looking at doing anything with Section 8. That is completely out of the realm nor do we want to get involved with any of that, okay? Lastly, stormwater issues. I know everybody has stormwater issues everywhere, right, especially during heavy rains. Just like Treadway, I know a lot of those homes were getting flooded out in

Work Session March 17, 2026

the back. What saved a lot of those homes, they were all going onto the Treadway property. Brent did a lot of work to mitigate all that, and I've got to believe most of the people living there, backside, have had very little water issues with the way we set things up. We took care of a lot of those problems. It will be the same way with Harbor Woods. Like Brent said, a lot of that was never finished, so there are water issues. We plan on taking care of all the water that's on our property, keeping it away from the neighbors, and in doing that, that will help a lot of the neighborhood as far as water control. Councilman DeBottis asks since you are comparing Treadway, I assume you are the one that owns that also? Mr. Douzos responds yes, I did. I don't own it anymore. Councilman DeBottis asks do you own any of those units in Treadway? Did you at one time? Mr. Douzos replies no, I did not. We sold all 36 units. I had no ownership of any of the units, nor do I plan on having ownership of any of these units. I have no desire to or have any of that. Councilman DeBottis says and then you mentioned no need for sidewalks because it's a private community. Mr. Douzos replies yes, there is no public traffic there, and I believe, and don't hold me to this, but none of the ordinances require sidewalks or private streets in Treadway. That was our experience on the previous development. Councilman DeBottis asks reading in your covenant for Treadway, if it's going to be similar or the same as this, you can actually give those rights back to the city by your wish, correct? Mr. Douzos replies I don't know. I'm not familiar with those HOA regulations from Treadway. A couple of reasons we are doing a private community is one, it is a nicer attraction for people who just want the little privacy low key. The other reason we did it private is the city had no maintenance required. They didn't have to maintain the streets. They didn't have to snow plow. They didn't have to do any of that. So, it was taking a burden off the city of any additional costs. Councilman DeBottis says again, the way your covenant reads for Treadway is after so many years, you can give that back to the city and it would be our responsibility to plow and do other things unless it's going to be different now. Mr. Douzos responds I'm not aware of that. Again, I have no stake in Treadway. I have no legality with that property. Councilman Morrow says I will say, just pointing out when I talk to some of the people over at Treadway, they like not having the sidewalks. They like it with the blacktop, because they can roller blade and roller skate and do stuff on it much easier because there's no cracks. Mr. Douzos replies there's very little traffic. There's not a thorough way. And actually, people do walk and use that walking path we put in. It worked out very well. I'm very proud of it. And we want to do the same thing over at Harbor Woods. Councilwoman Gee asks with Treadway, you worked with Mike (Deanna), right? Mr. Douzos responds yes. Councilwoman Gee asks is he also on this project? Mr. Douzos replies yes, he is. Councilwoman Gee asks did he retain any ownership of anything on Treadway Ponds? Mr. Douzos replies no, he does not own any units over there, never had. Councilwoman Gee asks would he be having any of these at the new one? Mr. Douzos replies no.

*****COMMITTEES*****

ROADS & DRAINS: Chairman DeBottis reports Irving Park has a sewer credit they were requesting and we have not made a decision on that for \$127.90. That will be presented at our next meeting. /**SAFETY:** None. /**BUILDINGS, LANDS, VEHICLES & EQUIPMENT:** None. /**ORDINANCE:** Chairman Cizl says I heard discussion that some work is going to come to ordinance to discuss further. /**FINANCE:** None. /**PARK BOARD:** None.

*****ADMINISTRATIVE*****

MAYOR: Mayor Radeff is excused. /**SERVICE DIRECTOR:** Service Director Hastings is excused. /**FINANCE DIRECTOR:** Finance Director Rummell is excused.

LAW DIRECTOR: Law Director Ward reports with regard to the proposed business regulation code, after the work session on February 17th, I did inform our city's chief building official of the discussion that occurred that day. He took the initiative and submitted a proposed alternative, given what was discussed at that meeting. I believe that's part of your packet of materials. I did review it, and I'm happy to discuss my comments or thoughts on it further when the time is appropriate. I do have a few what I would call cleanup edits or enhancements to that draft, which I can, again, discuss when the time is right this evening. President Rosso replies I did take a quick look through it, and it changed it from the word license to registration, and there's no fee, and there's no dependent on an approval by the mayor or anyone in the administration. It was just kind of here's our businesses, so when the fire dept. has to go in and check things out, they kind of know what's what, where, where. I think it's what we were asking for in the last work session. Councilman Fogel asks does that include home-based businesses also? Law Director Ward replies my understanding from the February work session is that the home business part of this was not well received, so I excluded that from the draft. That can certainly be changed. President Rosso says it will go to ordinance for review and then hopefully we'll just send it on to the next council meeting and have it go three readings and go from there.

COMMUNICATIONS: None.

OLD BUSINESS: -*Business regulation code- Discussed above.

* Ohio Law and Professional Ethics Requirement directing the cessation of improper investigations. Councilman Morrow says this is this is setting up a policy so that we don't have the same thing that happened with Aden here, where we have people that are using legally dubious information to challenge the qualifications of a

Work Session March 17, 2026

sitting council person. This would stop that, and it would say that we would go to either the county prosecutor or the Ohio Attorney General and ask for an opinion on it rather than go through what we went through here, and that would be officially how you would challenge the qualifications of a person that's sitting. It wouldn't go to our law director, and I believe that it's wrong to put the law director in that position even if the person is a councilman-elect, because the law is kind of fuzzy on that, whether or not it's a councilman-elect or an elected council person if you're challenging the qualifications as far as the ethics go for the law director. President Rosso says I'm not quite sure what to do with this, so I'm looking for direction from council. Councilman Morrow says I think it should be referred to the law director. Councilman Kovach says I have a comment on almost all of these. First off, the way these were all written and presented by Mr. Morrow, the fact is they mirror what he's looking at here is the way a city manager government runs on all of these, where he feels that the business administration should be handled by this council, which is not the form of government we have. So, at this point, I believe all of these should be sent to ordinance and then reviewed by the law director to be cleaned up if any of them are to be presented in regards to the way our city government runs. Actually, all of these, any ordinances, should be presented, written through ordinance to begin with. President Rosso says let's focus on this first one here. Does council want to take any action on this one and ask the law director to review it? I mean, Aden's situation probably will never happen again, and I'm not quite sure if there's a better way to handle it than that was handled, though it wasn't the cleanest way to handle it. If everyone's comfortable with sending these (to ordinance), and if that's what council so desires, then that's what we'll do. At this point, I say it's either time to make a decision and move on one way or the other. Either we're going to have them review it and write up an ordinance, or we're just going to say we don't want to deal with it. Decision made to send to ordinance. President Rosso says the next one was the mayor acting as the law director. Do we want to do the same thing with that? Councilman Morrow says I thought you asked the law director to come back with an opinion on that. Law Director Ward replies my suggestion to council president is that this be referred to the ordinance committee the same way that the prior one was. Decision made to refer to ordinance. *Adoption of Official Policy Charter Rule 30. Councilman Morrow says we want to have an official policy of how we harmonize our charter with our council rules. President Rosso asks oh, this is your question about the mayor being able to sponsor vs recommend that that's an issue? Mr. Ward, I'll ask you to see if that's a concern we need to address. Law Director Ward replies there's a specific procedure in the council rules that sets forth the process whereby those rules can be amended. President Rosso responds which is fine, which means we have to have an ordinance amending the rule to what Mr. Morrow is saying here. I guess my question is, for at least the last 25 years, the mayor has sponsored ordinances, and his concern is that the rules say he can recommend that and it

Work Session March 17, 2026

doesn't specifically say sponsor. So, if that is an issue, to correct it, we amend the rule that says he can sponsor, or we say it's got to be sponsored by someone else. Councilman Morrow says we either harmonize the way that the law reads right now, or you would have to, in order for him to be able to recommend legislation or sponsor legislation, you would have to have an ordinance to change. I wasn't looking for an ordinance to change. I was looking for a policy of harmonization between the charter and between the council rules. Councilman Fogel says I think what he's saying is if there's a conflict between the city charter and the city council rule. President Rosso replies I don't necessarily believe there's a conflict. I just think it doesn't say the word... I don't think anything says he can sponsor. I think that's John's point. Councilman Fogel says the mayor may recommend legislation. President Rosso replies right, and John said recommend is not sponsor. Councilman Fogel states it limits the mayor's ability to introduce legislation except in narrowly defined circumstances. President Rosso replies but again, it never says the word sponsor. Councilman Fogel asks what's the difference? Councilman Morrow replies I'll argue separation of powers where we're the legislative body and he's the executive body. You don't see President Trump bringing legislation before Congress the same way that you don't see DeWine bringing legislation before our General Assembly. So, that's the reason why I believe that they should be separated. If the mayor wants something passed and somebody just wants to approve everything that the mayor wants, then it's easy for them just to sponsor it for him. Let's say the mayor has an idea that we just don't want to even entertain. No one wants to entertain it. I don't see where he should have the right to be able to bring it before a legislative body that doesn't want to even consider it. President Rosso says if the mayor, because it's a separation, then nobody in the administration should be able to sponsor an ordinance or a resolution. Councilman Morrow replies that's not the way our charter says, though. The charter says that we can empower committees. President Rosso replies we can empower council committees, absolutely. We cannot empower anybody in this. We shouldn't be allowing the service director to sponsor an ordinance. What we're going to do is slow down everything or we clean it up. Councilman Morrow says I do have a way that I want, but I'll go with which way council wants to go on this. I'm saying that the way that the law reads right now is that the mayor can't do what he's doing. President Rosso responds I would argue that if it applies to the mayor, it applies to the administration and we can't have anybody in the administration sponsor ordinances or resolutions. President Rosso asks the law director to look at it and render an opinion. *Council camera system- President Rosso states we are still working on it. As soon as we get the third quote, we can make a decision.

NEW BUSINESS: *Policy for approved projects-TABLED. President Rosso, I assume we can discuss this one that's tabled, or can you not discuss it until it gets untabled? Councilman Morrow replies we have to have a motion to take it off the

Work Session March 17, 2026

table. Law Director Ward responds you can't do that at work session. President Rosso says even though that was our intent, we didn't do it the right way. Law Director Ward says the matter would have to be removed. There would have to be a motion to remove it from the table first before any further action is taken. President Rosso says maybe he was wrong, but the process has always been when anything's been tabled without a specific date or timeline or requirement to be met to be untabled, they were automatically untabled at the next meeting. Is that not true? Law Director Ward responds Council Rule 28 says that a motion to take from the table is the mechanism to bring a matter back to life, so to speak. President Rosso says so, at council we will have to untable it. Put on council agenda-old business per Rick. *AI generated deceptive media. President Rosso says again, falling right into what Mr. Kovach was talking about earlier. Both of these and the sex offenders, both should go to ordinance, and I would ask this, and I'll reach out to the mayor and law director. I just ran into the police chief in the parking lot the other day, and he had wanted to share his input on both of these. I'll ask the mayor to invite the police chief to ordinance to give his thoughts on them. Councilman Kovach says I'd like to make a suggestion in regards to that, the registered sex offenders. Apparently, the city of Middleburg Heights has just passed an ordinance on the line of what we have here. There might be an idea to review that and see if it would be applicable and save us a few steps because, as I understand it, even though you can go ahead and produce an ordinance such as this, you can run into constitutional issues regarding to no, they can't be there, but there are certain times that they can be at a, let's say a political protest or something on that line. So, apparently, they did a very thorough job on it. Something to look into. Law Director Ward responds I think the key is that it has to be narrowly tailored to, so that it survives any potential constitutional scrutiny. Councilman Morrow says I do want to say is that I did a lot of research of other communities that have similar ordinances. Actually, Middleburg Heights had an ordinance that I copied from. This last one is even stronger, much stronger than the one that I have, and I wouldn't be opposed to strengthening this one. So, I went through the community survey. I prepared a report on my findings on the community survey. There are some very disconcerting things that I see in here. It looks like there were 83 children that took the survey, which is about 18% of the entire survey. It also looks like it was a coordinated effort because they were all sequentially numbered when they did it. So, it looks like there was some engineering or manipulation going on with the community survey, which would drastically affect our master plan. I have all this in a report for everyone. I made a copy for everyone. I have all the children listed. It was just solely for this survey, because I looked at all the answers that they have, and it wasn't for another survey. So, it wasn't like they were accidentally put in there. Councilman Fogel states I looked at the results, and one of the students is now deceased, Vincent Pabon. So, I don't know when he died. President Rosso replies Aug. 9, 2025. Councilman Fogel says I don't know when they did the

Work Session March 17, 2026

transportation study. I mean, that would be an indication that it wasn't the transportation study results, it would have been for the master plan survey. I did notice some of the results were just initials with no address, nobody lived in the city or not, but there were adults. President Rosso states we don't know if they actually included them or not either. So, here's how today went this afternoon. Mrs. Gee called a school board member and asked about this survey, who called the superintendent and talked about the survey, who called me and said that he's going to give me samples of the survey, but as far as his belief, as far as he knows, the only survey done in school was by the lady that came and did the presentation on the safe path to school and the transportation, and she asked the students to fill it out because they weren't getting... They wanted more information about how the students got to school. He was going to send me that lady's information and copies of what that survey was. So, let's get a copy of everything and then go from there. The superintendent said they didn't do any other survey, and let's get the facts and then make a decision. Councilwoman Gee says considering the law director was who helped get the information from the survey to us, I'd like for the law director to look in and see if there's a chance that Cathy Moore might have given the law director from 2 different surveys thinking it was 1 survey. That way we make sure that there wasn't a mistake, and we also look into if what was given, was what was recorded for the count in the survey. President Rosso replies let's see what the superintendent comes back with and see. If they did it wrong, then, yes, let's get it straightened out. Councilman Morrow says I do want to say that I see that each and every individual form that they gave to me on a PDF. So, it's not like they're filling out different results or anything, because it shows the question. It shows what the answer is. It shows the question. It shows what the answer is. Another thing that could have happened is that somebody could have said, well, hey, I know all of these e-mail addresses. They're very easy to guess. That one person could have filled them all out. Of which I would say the program, Gravity Forms, is what they used to collect this. It records the IP address for each and every one of those. So, it could tell approximately an area of where they came from. President Rosso replies let's get the facts and make a decision. If somebody did something wrong, I'm OK with taking whatever action needs to be taken. Councilwoman Gee says aside from the email addresses having the graduation year in them, I'll leave the kids aside, because hopefully that is just an entirely different thing that accidentally got mixed in. Our master plan makes it clear in it that it's Sheffield Lake residents that were participating in the survey. We have city employees that do not live in Sheffield Lake that participated in that survey. President Rosso replies let's figure it all out, get the facts, and then take action. I'm not going to take any action until we get all the facts. If there was something wrong, then someone should pay the price.

Work Session March 17, 2026

ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS:

COUNCIL #012- SECOND READING- AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT, INCREASE, AND/OR DECREASE OF CERTAIN FUNDS WITHIN THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SHEFFIELD LAKE, OHIO, AND THE DECLARING OF AN EMERGENCY.

COUNCIL #013- SECOND READING- AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT, INCREASE, AND/OR DECREASE OF CERTAIN FUNDS WITHIN THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SHEFFIELD LAKE, OHIO, AND THE DECLARING OF AN EMERGENCY.

MEETING ADJOURNED: With no further business before this council, Motion by Kovach /Second by DeBottis to adjourn at 8:14pm. Yeas All.

CLERK OF COUNCIL AFFIRMATION: This Meeting Of The City Council Of The City Of Sheffield Lake, Ohio Was Held And Conducted Under All Rules And Regulations Governing The Sunshine Laws Of The State Of Ohio As They May Apply. All meetings are recorded and available in council offices.

CLERK OF COUNCIL/COMMITTEES
Brandy Randolph

MAYOR
Rocky Radeff

I, Brandy Randolph, duly appointed Clerk of Council of Sheffield Lake DO HEREBY CERTIFY that this is a true and exact copy of the Minutes of WORKSESSION of March 17, 2026.

COUNCIL PRESIDENT
Rick Rosso